

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,170	09/11/2003	Richard A. Holl	58035-013100	7505
33717	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			SOOHOO, TONY GLEN	
	RADO AVENUE, SUITE NICA, CA 90404	TE 400E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1723	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/661,170	HOLL, RICHARD A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tony G. Soohoo	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	ay 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	e Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		-				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>varies</u> , <u>5pgs total</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (F 10-132)				

Application/Control Number: 10/661,170 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wegil 6136272.

The Weigl reference teaches a mixing device wand method of operation whereby there is a flow path with opposed surfaces having a gap whereby within the gap, two materials are moved along within a flow to one another. The flows are adjacent one another thereby having a boundary and are spaced with an inter-diffusion boundary. Each respective flow has a velocity and a shear which exists between the relative difference in flow velocity of one flow in respect to the other. With regards to the relative size, and shape of the surfaces and spacing of the flow passage, absent any unexpected result, such modifications are within the skill of a person having ordinary skill in the art to optimize the flow interaction and thus would have been obvious to modify the radial spacing of the surfaces so that the inter-diffusion rate is optimized whereby it is old and well known that the flow characteristics are of a boundary transition is dependent upon the variables of the fluid material characteristics and the channel characteristics in a determination of the Reynolds number, since it has been held that, absent any unexpected result, a mere change in form or shape on the basis of

Application/Control Number: 10/661,170 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

suitability, in this case the channel spacing or smoothness to optimize the Reynolds number is a matter of obvious mechanical design choice. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976). A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). It has also been held that discovering an optimum value, in this case the value of the channel wall spacing and smoothness of a channel wall, of a result effective variable (Reynolds number) involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent numbers: US 6281254, US 6134950, US 5971158, US 5932100, US 5858187, US 5716852.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony G. Soohoo whose telephone number is (571) 272 1147. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM 5:00 PM, Tues. Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,170 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tony G Sochoo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

\*\*\*